

MINTZ LEVIN

Russell H. Fox | 202 434 7483 | rfox@mintz.com

701 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20004
202-434-7300
202-434-7400 fax
www.mintz.com

February 29, 2008

VIA EMAIL

Marlene Dortch
Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street SW
Washington, DC 20554

Re: EB Docket 06-36, Annual CPNI Certification for MariTEL, Inc.

Dear Ms. Dortch:

In compliance with section 64.2009(e) of the rules of the Federal Communications Commission, attached is MariTEL, Inc.'s annual certification of compliance with the Commission's rules on use and protection of customer proprietary network information ("CPNI"). Please contact the undersigned with any questions or need for additional information.

Respectfully submitted,



Russell H. Fox

Attachment

cc: Dan Smith

Mintz, Levin, Cohn, Ferris, Glovsky and Popeo, P.C.

BOSTON | WASHINGTON | NEW YORK | STAMFORD | LOS ANGELES | PALO ALTO | SAN DIEGO | LONDON

Annual 64.2009 (e) CPNI Certification for 2008

Date filed: February 29, 2008

Name of company covered by this certification: MariTEL, Inc.

Form 499 Filer ID: Pending

Name of signatory: Dan Smith

Title of signatory: President and Chief Executive Officer

I, Dan Smith, certify that I am an officer of the company name above, and acting as an agent of the company, that I have personal knowledge that the company has established operating procedures that are adequate to ensure compliance with the Commission's CPNI rules.

Attached to this certification is an accompanying statement explaining how the company's procedures ensure that the company is in compliance with the requirements set forth in section 64.2001 et seq. of the Commission's rules.

The company has not taken any actions (proceedings instituted or petitions filed by a company at either state commissions, the court system, or at the Commission against data brokers) against data brokers in the past year. Companies must report on any information that they have with respect to the processes pretexters are using in an attempt to access CPNI, and what steps companies are taking to protect CPNI

The company has not received any customer complaints in the past year concerning the unauthorized release of CPNI (number of customer complaints a company has received related to unauthorized access to CPNI, or unauthorized disclosure of CPNI, broken down by category or complaint, e.g., instances of improper access by employees, instances of improper disclosure to individuals not authorized to receive information, or instances of improper access to online information by individuals not authorized to receive the information, or instances of improper access to online information by individuals not authorized to view the information).

Signed:



MariTEL, Inc.

Accompanying Statement to Annual Certification of CPNI

February 29, 2008

MariTEL has not used CPNI except as included in 47 U.S.C. 222(d) exceptions.

- (a) The company has not sought customer approval of the use of CPNI since CPNI is not used.
- (b) The company has trained all personnel with access to CPNI as to the identification of CPNI and when CPNI may be used and has an express disciplinary process in place for any improper use of CPNI.
- (c) The Company has not used CPNI in any sales or marketing campaign.
- (d) No outbound sales and marketing campaign can be conducted without management approval and any such campaign would require supervisory review to assure compliance with CPNI.